

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**  
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING**

9 ALEXANDER IRVINE and BARBARA  
10 TWADDELL, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, an  
agency of the STATE OF WASHINGTON

14 Defendant.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

15 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

16 Plaintiffs Alexander Irvine and Barbara Twaddell (together, “Plaintiffs”), individually and  
17 on behalf of all others similarly situated (collectively, “Class members”), by and through their  
18 attorneys, bring this Class Action Complaint against Defendant University of Washington (“UW”  
19 or “Defendant”) and complain and allege upon personal knowledge as to themselves and  
20 information and belief as to all other matters.

21 **INTRODUCTION**

22 1. Plaintiffs bring this class action against Defendant for its failure to secure and  
23 safeguard their and other patients’ personally identifiable information (“PII”) and personal health  
24 information (“PHI”), including but not limited to names, Social Security numbers, addresses,  
25 phone numbers, medical history, and insurance information.

1           2.       The University of Washington is a public university that controls and operates UW  
2 Medicine, an integrated health system.

3           3.       On or about November 19, 2023, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center (“FHCC”), a  
4 nonprofit organization that serves as UW Medicine’s cancer program, discovered that an  
5 unauthorized party had gained access to FHCC’s network systems and removed certain files,  
6 including files that contained the PII/PHI of Plaintiffs and Class members (“Data Breach”).

7           4.       FHCC’s database and medical record keeping system is integrated with UW  
8 Medicine’s database and record keeping system such that FHCC’s database stores and maintains  
9 information for patients of UW Medicine who have never sought or received services from FHCC.

10          5.       Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiffs and Class members to implement and maintain  
11 reasonable and adequate security measures to secure, protect, and safeguard their PII/PHI against  
12 unauthorized access and disclosure. Defendant breached that duty by, among other things, failing  
13 to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices to protect the PII/PHI they  
14 collect and maintain from unauthorized access and disclosure.

15          6.       As a result of Defendant’s inadequate security and breach of duties and obligations,  
16 the Data Breach occurred, and Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ PII/PHI was accessed and disclosed.  
17 This action seeks to remedy these failings and their consequences. Plaintiffs bring this action on  
18 behalf of themselves and all persons whose PII/PHI was exposed as a result of the Data Breach,  
19 which FHCC discovered on or about November 19, 2023.

20          7.       Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other Class members, assert claims for  
21 negligence, negligence per se, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of implied contract, unjust  
22 enrichment, violation of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, and seek declaratory relief,  
23 injunctive relief, monetary damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, equitable relief, and all  
24 other relief authorized by law.

1 **PARTIES**

2 ***Plaintiff Alexander Irvine***

3 8. Plaintiff Irvine is a citizen of Washington.

4 9. Plaintiff Irvine obtained healthcare or related services from Seattle Cancer Care  
5 Alliance and FHCC, affiliates of UW Medicine.<sup>1</sup> As a condition of receiving services, FHCC  
6 required Plaintiff Irvine to provide them with his PII/PHI.

7 10. Based on representations made by Defendant and FHCC, Plaintiff Irvine believed  
8 Defendant had implemented and maintained reasonable security and practices to protect his  
9 PII/PHI. With this belief in mind, Plaintiff Irvine provided his PII/PHI to FHCC and Defendant in  
10 connection with receiving healthcare services provided by FHCC as an affiliate of Defendant.

11 11. At all relevant times, Defendant stored and maintained Plaintiff Irvine’s PII/PHI on  
12 their network systems.

13 12. Plaintiff Irvine takes great care to protect his PII/PHI. Had Plaintiff Irvine known  
14 that Defendant does not adequately protect the PII/PHI in their possession, he would not have  
15 obtained healthcare services from Defendant or its affiliates or agreed to entrust them with his  
16 PII/PHI.

17 13. Plaintiff Irvine received an email from UW Medicine notifying him that the Data  
18 Breach impacted his PII/PHI.

19 14. As a direct result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff Irvine has suffered injury and  
20 damages including, *inter alia*, a substantial and imminent risk of identity theft and medical identity  
21 theft; the wrongful disclosure and loss of confidentiality of his highly sensitive PII/PHI;  
22 deprivation of the value of his PII/PHI; and overpayment for services that did not include adequate  
23 data security.

24 <sup>1</sup> Seattle Cancer Care Alliance merged with FHCC in 2022. Fred Hutch News Service Staff, *Fred  
25 Hutch and Seattle Cancer Care Alliance Unite, Reshape Relationship with UW Medicine*, FHCC  
26 (Apr. 1, 2022), <https://www.fredhutch.org/en/news/center-news/2022/04/fred-hutch-scca-restructure.html>.

1           15. Plaintiff Irvine submitted a tort claim form regarding the Data Breach to the Office  
2 of Risk Management on December 12, 2023. He has not received a response.

3 ***Plaintiff Barbara Twaddell***

4           16. Plaintiff Twaddell is a citizen of Washington.

5           17. Plaintiff Twaddell obtained healthcare or related services from FHCC, an affiliate  
6 of UW Medicine. As a condition of receiving services, FHCC required Plaintiff Twaddell to  
7 provide them with her PII/PHI.

8           18. Based on representations made by Defendant and FHCC, Plaintiff Twaddell  
9 believed Defendant had implemented and maintained reasonable security and practices to protect  
10 her PII/PHI. With this belief in mind, Plaintiff Twaddell provided her PII/PHI to FHCC and  
11 Defendant in connection with receiving healthcare services provided by FHCC as an affiliate of  
12 Defendant.

13           19. At all relevant times, Defendant stored and maintained Plaintiff Twaddell's PII/PHI  
14 on their network systems.

15           20. Plaintiff Twaddell takes great care to protect her PII/PHI. Had Plaintiff Twaddell  
16 known that Defendant does not adequately protect the PII/PHI in their possession, she would not  
17 have obtained healthcare services from Defendant or its affiliates or agreed to entrust them with  
18 her PII/PHI.

19           21. Plaintiff Twaddell received an extortion email from the cybercriminals responsible  
20 for the Data Breach. The email contained her PII/PHI, including her medical record number,  
21 address, medical diagnosis, and insurance. The email demanded \$50 in exchange for removing her  
22 data from the dark web website where it is listed for sale.

23           22. As a direct result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff Twaddell has suffered injury and  
24 damages including, *inter alia*, a substantial and imminent risk of identity theft and medical identity  
25 theft; the wrongful disclosure and loss of confidentiality of her highly sensitive PII/PHI;  
26

1 deprivation of the value of her PII/PHI; and overpayment for services that did not include adequate  
2 data security.

3 23. Plaintiff Twaddell submitted a tort claim form regarding the Data Breach to the  
4 Office of Risk Management on December 12, 2023. She has not received a response.

5 ***Defendant University of Washington***

6 24. The University of Washington is a public university formed by the State of  
7 Washington.

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 25. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to RCW 2.08.010. This action  
10 is brought as a class action on behalf of Plaintiffs and all Class members pursuant to Washington  
11 Superior Court Civil Rule 23.

12 26. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the University of Washington because it  
13 is a state entity authorized under the laws of the State of Washington.

14 27. Venue is proper in King County pursuant to RCW 4.12.020, RCW 4.12.025, and  
15 RCW 4.92.010 because the University of Washington’s principal places of business are located in  
16 King County.

17 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 ***Overview of Defendant***

19 28. UW Medicine is an “integrated clinical, research and learning health system” that  
20 provides primary and specialized healthcare services.<sup>2</sup> UW Medicine is “a family of  
21 organizations... operated or managed as part of an integrated health system.”<sup>3</sup> Some of the  
22 organizations that form UW Medicine are legally part of the University of Washington, while  
23

24  
25 <sup>2</sup> *UW Medicine Overview*, UW MED., [https://depts.washington.edu/uwmmktg/wp-](https://depts.washington.edu/uwmmktg/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/UWMedicine-Overview.pdf)  
26 [content/uploads/2022/04/UWMedicine-Overview.pdf](https://depts.washington.edu/uwmmktg/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/UWMedicine-Overview.pdf) (last accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

1 others are separate.<sup>4</sup> UW Medicine is the only comprehensive clinical, research, and learning  
2 health system in Washington.<sup>5</sup>

3 29. FHCC “is an independent, nonprofit organization, that also serves as UW  
4 Medicine’s cancer program.”<sup>6</sup> FHCC “operates eight clinical care sites that provide medical  
5 oncology, infusion, radiation, proton therapy and related services.”<sup>7</sup>

6 30. In the regular course of their business, Defendant collects and maintains the PII/PHI  
7 of current and former patients. Defendant requires patients to provide their PII/PHI before they  
8 provide medical services.

9 31. FHCC was established in its current form in April, 2022, “by the merger of Fred  
10 Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, with the goal of bringing  
11 scientific advances to patients more quickly.”<sup>8</sup> FHCC is now “a clinically integrated part of UW  
12 Medicine and UW Medicine’s cancer program.”<sup>9</sup> FHCC provides managerial oversight for UW  
13 Medical services that provide cancer care.<sup>10</sup>

14 32. FHCC, as an affiliate of UW Medicine, provided healthcare services to over 53,000  
15 patients in 2022.<sup>11</sup>

16 33. FHCC’s website and UW Medicine’s website each contain an identical Joint Notice  
17 of Privacy Practices (“Privacy Policy”).<sup>12</sup> The Privacy Policy lists the ways Defendant says it will

---

18 <sup>4</sup> *Id.*

19 <sup>5</sup> *See id.*

20 <sup>6</sup> *About Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, FHCC*, <https://www.fredhutch.org/en/about/about-the-hutch.html> (last accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

21 <sup>7</sup> *The UW Medicine Family*, UW MED., <https://www.uwmedicine.org/about/the-uwmedicine-family> (last accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

22 <sup>8</sup> *2022 Annual Report*, FHCC (2022), <https://www.fredhutch.org/en/about/about-the-hutch/annual-report.html#merger> (last accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

23 <sup>9</sup> Fred Hutch News Service Staff, *supra* note 1.

24 <sup>10</sup> *See id.*

25 <sup>11</sup> *See 2022 Annual Report*, *supra* note 8.

26 <sup>12</sup> *Joint Notice of Privacy Practices*, FHCC (Dec. 19, 2022), <https://www.fredhutch.org/content/dam/www/clinical-pdf/patient-policies/joint-notice-of-privacy-practices.pdf>; *Joint Notice of Privacy Practices*, UW MED. (Dec. 19, 2022),

1 use or disclose patients’ personal information, including for treatment, billing services, and  
2 research.<sup>13</sup>

3 34. In the Privacy Policy, Defendant acknowledges it is “required by law to maintain  
4 the privacy and security of your protected health information.”<sup>14</sup> Defendant states it “must follow  
5 the duties and privacy practices described in this notice.”<sup>15</sup> Defendant promises it “will not use or  
6 share your information other than as described here unless you tell us we can in writing.”<sup>16</sup>

7 35. The Privacy Policy explains that “UW Medicine and Fred Hutch participate in  
8 organized healthcare arrangements. Although these two organizations are separate healthcare  
9 entities, they share patient information for treatment, payment, and operations related to the  
10 organized healthcare arrangement.”<sup>17</sup>

11 36. Plaintiff and Class members are persons whose PII/PHI was collected and  
12 maintained by UW Medicine or by FHCC as an affiliate of UW Medicine.

13 ***The Data Breach and Defendant’s Other Recent Data Breaches***

14 37. On or about November 19, 2023, FHCC discovered an unauthorized third-party  
15 accessed FHCC’s network and the sensitive information stored therein.<sup>18</sup> According to the data  
16 breach notice on FHCC’s website, “Based on the information available, the criminal group  
17 responsible is outside the United States.”<sup>19</sup>

18 38. According to FHCC, patients of UW Medicine were also impacted, “Since UW  
19 Medicine clinicians also provide care to patients at Fred Hutch and some services are provided  
20

---

21 [https://www.uwmedicine.org/sites/stevie/files/2023-01/A11499.MED\\_.M%20-](https://www.uwmedicine.org/sites/stevie/files/2023-01/A11499.MED_.M%20-%20Notice%20of%20Privacy%20Practice%20BROCHURE%2011.01.22_a11y.pdf)  
22 [%20Notice%20of%20Privacy%20Practice%20BROCHURE%2011.01.22\\_a11y.pdf](https://www.uwmedicine.org/sites/stevie/files/2023-01/A11499.MED_.M%20-%20Notice%20of%20Privacy%20Practice%20BROCHURE%2011.01.22_a11y.pdf).

23 <sup>13</sup> *See id.*

24 <sup>14</sup> *Id.*

25 <sup>15</sup> *Id.*

26 <sup>16</sup> *Id.*

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> *See Data Security Incident*, FHCC (Dec. 11, 2023), <https://www.fredhutch.org/en/about/about-the-hutch/accountability-impact/data-security-incident.html> (last accessed Dec. 11, 2023).

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

1 across multiple Fred Hutch and UW Medicine locations, the patient data necessary to provide this  
2 care is shared across systems. The cybersecurity incident specifically involved Fred Hutch systems  
3 but those systems also had some UW Medicine patient data related to areas such as preventative  
4 and oncology care.”<sup>20</sup>

5 39. The cybercriminals responsible for the Data Breach have attempted to extort victims  
6 of the Data Breach via threatening emails.<sup>21</sup> In these emails, the cybercriminals claim to have stolen  
7 800,000 patient records,<sup>22</sup> including names, Social Security numbers, addresses, phone numbers,  
8 medical history, lab results, and insurance information.<sup>23</sup>

9 40. The extortion emails sent to victims of the Data Breach offer to remove the victim’s  
10 PII/PHI from the dark web for a fee of \$50.<sup>24</sup> FHCC has admitted that victims are receiving these  
11 threatening emails.<sup>25</sup>

12 41. The U.S. Department of Health & Human Services has reported the number of  
13 affected individuals is 890,959.<sup>26</sup>

14 42. FHCC’s website notice warns victims to “remain vigilant to protect against potential  
15 fraud and/or identity theft.”<sup>27</sup>

16 43. Despite learning of the Data Breach on or about November 19, 2023, neither FHCC  
17 nor UW began notifying impacted individuals until early December 2023. Defendant’s failure to

---

18 <sup>20</sup> *Id.*

19 <sup>21</sup> *E.g.*, KING 5 Staff, ‘DO NOT PAY IT’: Fred Hutch Warns of ‘Threatening Spam Emails’ After  
20 *Cyberattack*, KING 5 NEWS (Dec. 7, 2023 6:20 PM),  
21 [https://www.king5.com/article/news/local/fred-hutch-warn-patients-threatening-emails-](https://www.king5.com/article/news/local/fred-hutch-warn-patients-threatening-emails-cyberattack/281-40365cfa-61c9-4395-91ad-2c819695d4c0)  
[cyberattack/281-40365cfa-61c9-4395-91ad-2c819695d4c0](https://www.king5.com/article/news/local/fred-hutch-warn-patients-threatening-emails-cyberattack/281-40365cfa-61c9-4395-91ad-2c819695d4c0).

22 <sup>22</sup> *Id.*

23 <sup>23</sup> *E.g.*, Brittany Toolis, *Cancer Patients Face Blackmail Threats After Fred Hutch Data Breach*,  
24 MYNORTHWEST (Dec. 8, 2023 6:38 AM), [https://mynorthwest.com/3942300/cancer-patients-](https://mynorthwest.com/3942300/cancer-patients-face-blackmail-threats-after-fred-hutch-data-breach/)  
[face-blackmail-threats-after-fred-hutch-data-breach/](https://mynorthwest.com/3942300/cancer-patients-face-blackmail-threats-after-fred-hutch-data-breach/).

25 <sup>24</sup> *Id.*

26 <sup>25</sup> *See Data Security Incident, supra* note 18.

<sup>26</sup> Breach Portal, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights,  
[https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/breach/breach\\_report.jsf](https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/breach/breach_report.jsf) (last accessed February 9, 2024).

<sup>27</sup> *See Data Security Incident, supra* note 18.



1 promptly notify Plaintiffs and Class members that their PII/PHI was accessed and stolen virtually  
2 ensured that the unauthorized third parties who exploited those security lapses could monetize,  
3 misuse, or disseminate that PII/PHI before Plaintiffs and Class members could take affirmative  
4 steps to protect their sensitive information. As a result, Plaintiffs and Class members will suffer  
5 indefinitely from the substantial and concrete risk that their identities will be (or already have been)  
6 stolen and misappropriated.

7 44. The Data Breach is not the first data breach that Defendant or its affiliate FHCC  
8 have experienced in recent years. FHCC experienced a separate data incident between March 25,  
9 2022, and March 26, 2022, in which an unauthorized person accessed an employee's email account  
10 containing patient information.<sup>28</sup> In 2018, it was discovered that the PII/PHI of approximately  
11 974,000 UW Medicine patients was exposed online and available through Google's search engine.<sup>29</sup>  
12 The PHI of approximately 3,800 UW Medicine patients was affected by a ransomware attack at a  
13 third-party vendor of UW Medicine's in 2022.<sup>30</sup>

14 ***Defendant Knew that Criminals Target PII/PHI***

15 45. At all relevant times, Defendant knew, or should have known, that Plaintiffs' and  
16 all other Class members' PII/PHI was a target for malicious actors. Indeed, its affiliate FHCC  
17 admitted in its website notice that "all organizations face cybersecurity risks and these kind of  
18 attacks have targeted multiple healthcare institutions in the past."<sup>31</sup> Further, Defendant's Joint  
19  
20

21 <sup>28</sup> *Notice of a Data Security Incident Involving Seattle Cancer Care Alliance Patients*, FHCC  
22 (May 25, 2022), <https://www.fredhutch.org/en/news/releases/2022/06/notice-of-a-data-security-incident-involving-seattle-cancer-care.html>.

23 <sup>29</sup> See Jessica Davis, *Health Data of 974,000 UW Medicine Patients Exposed for 3 Weeks*, HEALTH  
24 IT SEC. (Feb. 21, 2019), <https://healthitsecurity.com/news/health-data-of-974000-uw-medicine-patients-exposed-for-3-weeks>.

25 <sup>30</sup> Naomi Diaz, *3,800 UW Medicine Patients Affected by 3rd-Party Data Breach*, BECKER'S  
26 HEALTH IT (Oct. 7, 2022), <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/cybersecurity/3-800-uw-medicine-patients-affected-by-3rd-party-data-breach.html>.

<sup>31</sup> *Data Security Incident*, *supra* note 18.

1 Notice of Privacy Practices states that Defendants will “let you know promptly if a breach occurs  
2 that may have compromised the privacy or security of your information.”<sup>32</sup>

3 46. Despite such knowledge, Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable  
4 and appropriate data privacy and security measures to protect Plaintiffs’ and Class members’  
5 PII/PHI from cyber-attacks that Defendant should have anticipated and guarded against. Defendant  
6 should have been particularly aware of the possibility of a data breach because of the recent data  
7 breaches they and their affiliates have experienced.

8 47. It is well known amongst companies that store sensitive personally identifying  
9 information that sensitive information—such as the Social Security numbers and medical  
10 information stolen in the Data Breach—is valuable and frequently targeted by cybercriminals. In  
11 a recent article, *Business Insider* noted that “[d]ata breaches are on the rise for all kinds of  
12 businesses, including retailers... Many of them were caused by flaws in... systems either online  
13 or in stores.”<sup>33</sup>

14 48. Cybercriminals seek out PHI at a greater rate than other sources of personal  
15 information. In a 2023 report, the healthcare compliance company Protenus found that there were  
16 956 medical data breaches in 2022 with over 59 million patient records exposed.<sup>34</sup> This is an  
17 increase from the 758 medical data breaches which exposed approximately 40 million records that  
18 Protenus compiled in 2020.<sup>35</sup>

---

23 <sup>32</sup> *Joint Notice, supra* note 12.

24 <sup>33</sup> Dennis Green, Mary Hanbury & Aine Cain, *If you bought anything from these 19 companies*  
*recently, your data may have been stolen*, BUS. INSIDER (Nov. 19, 2019, 8:05 AM),  
25 <https://www.businessinsider.com/data-breaches-retailers-consumer-companies-2019-1>.

26 <sup>34</sup> See PROTENUS, *2023 Breach Barometer*, PROTENUS.COM, <https://www.protenus.com/breach-barometer-report> (last accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

<sup>35</sup> *See id.*

1           49.     PII/PHI is a valuable property right.<sup>36</sup> The value of PII/PHI as a commodity is  
2 measurable.<sup>37</sup> “Firms are now able to attain significant market valuations by employing business  
3 models predicated on the successful use of personal data within the existing legal and regulatory  
4 frameworks.”<sup>38</sup> American companies are estimated to have spent over \$19 billion on acquiring  
5 personal data of consumers in 2018.<sup>39</sup> It is so valuable to identity thieves that once PII/PHI has  
6 been disclosed, criminals often trade it on the “cyber black-market,” or the “dark web,” for many  
7 years.

8           50.     As a result of the real and significant value of this material, identity thieves and  
9 other cyber criminals have openly posted credit card numbers, SSNs, PII/PHI, and other sensitive  
10 information directly on various Internet websites making the information publicly available. This  
11 information from various breaches, including the information exposed in the Data Breach, can be  
12 readily aggregated and become more valuable to thieves and more damaging to victims.

13           51.     PHI is particularly valuable and has been referred to as a “treasure trove for  
14 criminals.”<sup>40</sup> A cybercriminal who steals a person’s PHI can end up with as many as “seven to ten  
15 personal identifying characteristics of an individual.”<sup>41</sup>

---

18 <sup>36</sup> See Marc van Lieshout, *The Value of Personal Data*, 457 INT’L FED’N FOR INFO. PROCESSING  
19 26 (May 2015) (“The value of [personal] information is well understood by marketers who try to  
20 collect as much data about personal conducts and preferences as possible...”),  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283668023\\_The\\_Value\\_of\\_Personal\\_Data](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283668023_The_Value_of_Personal_Data).

21 <sup>37</sup> See Robert Lowes, *Stolen EHR [Electronic Health Record] Charts Sell for \$50 Each on Black*  
22 *Market*, MEDSCAPE.COM (April 28, 2014), <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/824192>.

23 <sup>38</sup> OECD, *Exploring the Economics of Personal Data: A Survey of Methodologies for Measuring*  
24 *Monetary Value*, OECD iLIBRARY (April 2, 2013), [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-  
25 technology/exploring-the-economics-of-personal-data\\_5k486qtxldmq-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/exploring-the-economics-of-personal-data_5k486qtxldmq-en).

26 <sup>39</sup> See IAB Data Center of Excellence, *U.S. Firms to Spend Nearly \$19.2 Billion on Third-Party*  
*Audience Data and Data-Use Solutions in 2018, Up 17.5% from 2017*, IAB.COM (Dec. 5, 2018),  
<https://www.iab.com/news/2018-state-of-data-report/>.

<sup>40</sup> See Andrew Steager, *What Happens to Stolen Healthcare Data*, HEALTHTECH MAG. (Oct. 20,  
2019), [https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2019/10/what-happens-stolen-healthcare-data-  
25 perfcon](https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2019/10/what-happens-stolen-healthcare-data-perfcon) (quoting Tom Kellermann, Chief Cybersecurity Officer, Carbon Black, stating “Health  
information is a treasure trove for criminals.”).

<sup>41</sup> *Id.*

1           52. All-inclusive health insurance dossiers containing sensitive health insurance  
2 information, names, addresses, telephone numbers, email addresses, SSNs, and bank account  
3 information, complete with account and routing numbers, can fetch up to \$1,300 each on the black  
4 market.<sup>42</sup> According to a report released by the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (“FBI”) Cyber  
5 Division, criminals can sell healthcare records for 50 times the price of a stolen Social Security or  
6 credit card number.<sup>43</sup>

7           53. Criminals can use stolen PII/PHI to extort a financial payment by “leveraging  
8 details specific to a disease or terminal illness.”<sup>44</sup> Quoting Carbon Black’s Chief Cybersecurity  
9 Officer, one recent article explained: “Traditional criminals understand the power of coercion and  
10 extortion... By having healthcare information—specifically, regarding a sexually transmitted  
11 disease or terminal illness—that information can be used to extort or coerce someone to do what  
12 you want them to do.”<sup>45</sup>

13           54. Consumers place a high value on the privacy of that data, as they should.  
14 Researchers shed light on how much consumers value their data privacy—and the amount is  
15 considerable. Indeed, studies confirm that “when privacy information is made more salient and  
16 accessible, some consumers are willing to pay a premium to purchase from privacy protective  
17 websites.”<sup>46</sup>

---

20 <sup>42</sup> See SC Staff, *Health Insurance Credentials Fetch High Prices in the Online Black Market*, SC  
21 MAG. (July 16, 2013), [https://www.scmagazine.com/news/breach/health-insurance-credentials-  
22 fetch-high-prices-in-the-online-black-market](https://www.scmagazine.com/news/breach/health-insurance-credentials-fetch-high-prices-in-the-online-black-market).

23 <sup>43</sup> See Federal Bureau of Investigation, *Health Care Systems and Medical Devices at Risk for  
24 Increased Cyber Intrusions for Financial Gain* (April 8, 2014),  
[https://www.illumweb.com/wp-content/uploads/ill-mo-uploads/103/2418/health-systems-  
25 cyber-intrusions.pdf](https://www.illumweb.com/wp-content/uploads/ill-mo-uploads/103/2418/health-systems-cyber-intrusions.pdf).

26 <sup>44</sup> Steager, *supra* note 41.

<sup>45</sup> *Id.*

<sup>46</sup> Janice Y. Tsai et al., *The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing Behavior, An  
Experimental Study*, 22(2) INFO. SYS. RSCH. 254 (June 2011)  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/23015560?seq=1>.

1           55.     Given these facts, any company that transacts business with a consumer and then  
2     compromises the privacy of consumers' PII/PHI has thus deprived that consumer of the full  
3     monetary value of the consumer's transaction with the company.

4                           ***Theft of PII/PHI Has Grave and Lasting Consequences for Victims***

5           56.     Theft of PII/PHI can have serious consequences for the victim. The FTC warns  
6     consumers that identity thieves use PII/PHI to receive medical treatment, start new utility accounts,  
7     and incur charges and credit in a person's name.<sup>47 48</sup>

8           57.     Experian, one of the largest credit reporting companies in the world, warns  
9     consumers that "[i]dentity thieves can profit off your personal information" by, among other  
10    things, selling the information, taking over accounts, using accounts without permission, applying  
11    for new accounts, obtaining medical procedures, filing a tax return, and applying for government  
12    benefits.<sup>49</sup>

13          58.     Identity theft is not an easy problem to solve. In a survey, the Identity Theft  
14    Resource Center found that almost 20% of victims of identity misuse needed more than a  
15    month to resolve issues stemming from identity theft.<sup>50</sup>

---

18 <sup>47</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *What to Know About Identity Theft*, FED. TRADE COMM'N  
19 CONSUMER INFO., <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/what-know-about-identity-theft> (last  
20 accessed Feb. 12, 2024).

21 <sup>48</sup> The FTC defines identity theft as "a fraud committed or attempted using the identifying  
22 information of another person without authority." 12 C.F.R. § 1022.3(h). The FTC describes  
23 "identifying information" as "any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction  
24 with any other information, to identify a specific person," including, among other things,  
25 "[n]ame, social security number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver's  
26 license or identification number, alien registration number, government passport number,  
employer or taxpayer identification number." 12 C.F.R. § 1022.3(g).

<sup>49</sup> See Louis DeNicola, *What Can Identity Thieves Do with Your Personal Information and How  
Can You Protect Yourself*, EXPERIAN (May 21, 2023), [https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-  
experian/what-can-identity-thieves-do-with-your-personal-information-and-how-can-you-  
protect-yourself/](https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/what-can-identity-thieves-do-with-your-personal-information-and-how-can-you-protect-yourself/).

<sup>50</sup> Identity Theft Resource Center, *2023 Consumer Aftermath Report*, IDENTITY THEFT RES. CTR.  
(2023), <https://www.idtheftcenter.org/publication/2023-consumer-impact-report/> (last accessed  
Feb. 12, 2024).

1           59.     Theft of SSNs also creates a particularly alarming situation for victims because  
2     SSNs cannot easily be replaced. In order to obtain a new SSN, a breach victim has to demonstrate  
3     ongoing harm from misuse. Thus, a new SSN will not be provided until after the victim has already  
4     suffered harm.

5           60.     Due to the highly sensitive nature of SSNs, theft of SSNs in combination with other  
6     PII (e.g., name, address, date of birth) is akin to having a master key to the gates of fraudulent  
7     activity. TIME quotes data security researcher Tom Stickley, who is employed by companies to  
8     find flaws in their computer systems, as stating, “If I have your name and your Social Security  
9     number and you don’t have a credit freeze yet, you’re easy pickings.”<sup>51</sup>

10          61.     Theft of PII is even more serious when it includes theft of PHI. Data breaches  
11     involving medical information “typically leave[] a trail of falsified information in medical records  
12     that can plague victims’ medical and financial lives for years.”<sup>52</sup> It “is also more difficult to detect,  
13     taking almost twice as long as normal identity theft.”<sup>53</sup> In warning consumers on the dangers of  
14     medical identity theft, the FTC states that an identity thief may use PII/PHI “to see a doctor, get  
15     prescription drugs, buy medical devices, submit claims with your insurance provider, or get other  
16     medical care.”<sup>54</sup> The FTC also warns, “If the thief’s health information is mixed with yours it  
17     could affect the medical care you’re able to get or the health insurance benefits you’re able to  
18  
19  
20

---

21     <sup>51</sup> Patrick Lucas Austin, *‘It Is Absurd.’ Data Breaches Show it’s Time to Rethink How We Use*  
22     *Social Security Numbers, Experts Say*, TIME (August 5, 2019),  
23     <https://time.com/5643643/capital-one-equifax-data-breach-social-security/>.

24     <sup>52</sup> Pam Dixon & John Emerson, *The Geography of Medical Identity Theft*, FTC.GOV (Dec. 12,  
25     2017), [http://www.worldprivacyforum.org/wp-](http://www.worldprivacyforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/WPF_Geography_of_Medical_Identity_Theft_fs.pdf)  
26     [content/uploads/2017/12/WPF\\_Geography\\_of\\_Medical\\_Identity\\_Theft\\_fs.pdf](http://www.worldprivacyforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/WPF_Geography_of_Medical_Identity_Theft_fs.pdf).

27     <sup>53</sup> See Federal Bureau of Investigation, *Health Care Systems and Medical Devices at Risk . . .*,  
28     *supra* note 44.

29     <sup>54</sup> See *What to Know About Medical Identity Theft*, FED. TRADE COMM’N CONSUMER INFO.,  
30     <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/what-know-about-medical-identity-theft> (last accessed  
31     Feb. 12, 2024).

1 use.”<sup>55</sup>

2 62. A report published by the World Privacy Forum and presented at the US FTC  
3 Workshop on Informational Injury describes what medical identity theft victims may experience:

- 4 a. Changes to their health care records, most often the addition of falsified  
5 information, through improper billing activity or activity by imposters.  
6 These changes can affect the healthcare a person receives if the errors are  
7 not caught and corrected.
- 8 b. Significant bills for medical goods and services neither sought nor received.
- 9 c. Issues with insurance, co-pays, and insurance caps.
- 10 d. Long-term credit problems based on problems with debt collectors  
11 reporting debt due to identity theft.
- 12 e. Serious life consequences resulting from the crime; for example, victims  
13 have been falsely accused of being drug users based on falsified entries to  
14 their medical files; victims have had their children removed from them due  
15 to medical activities of the imposter; victims have been denied jobs due to  
16 incorrect information placed in their health files due to the crime.
- 17 f. As a result of improper and/or fraudulent medical debt reporting, victims  
18 may not qualify for mortgage or other loans and may experience other  
19 financial impacts.
- 20 g. Phantom medical debt collection based on medical billing or other identity  
21 information.
- 22 h. Sales of medical debt arising from identity theft can perpetuate a victim’s  
23 debt collection and credit problems, through no fault of their own.<sup>56</sup>

24 63. There may also be time lags between when sensitive personal information is stolen,  
25 when it is used, and when a person discovers it has been used. On average it takes approximately  
26 three months for consumers to discover their identity has been stolen and used, but it takes some  
individuals up to three years to learn that information.<sup>57</sup>

---

24 <sup>55</sup> *Id.*

25 <sup>56</sup> See Dixon & Emerson, *supra* note 54.

26 <sup>57</sup> John W. Coffey, *Difficulties in Determining Data Breach Impacts*, 17 J. OF SYSTEMICS,  
CYBERNETICS AND INFORMATICS 9 (2019),  
<http://www.iiisci.org/journal/pdv/sci/pdfs/IP069LL19.pdf>.

1           64.     It is within this context that Plaintiffs and Class members must now live with the  
2 knowledge that their PII/PHI is forever in cyberspace, having been stolen by criminals willing to  
3 use the information for any number of improper purposes and scams, including making the  
4 information available for sale on the black market.

5                                 ***Damages Sustained by Plaintiffs and Class Members***

6           65.     Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered and will suffer injury, including, but  
7 not limited to: (i) a substantially increased and imminent risk of identity theft; (ii) the compromise,  
8 publication, and theft of their PII/PHI; (iii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention,  
9 detection, and recovery from unauthorized use of their PII/PHI; (iv) lost opportunity costs  
10 associated with efforts attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data  
11 Breach; (v) the continued risk to their PII/PHI which remains in Defendant's possession; (vi) future  
12 costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be required to prevent, detect, and repair the  
13 impact of the PII/PHI compromised as a result of the Data Breach; and (vii) overpayment for  
14 services that were received without adequate data security.

15   **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

16           66.     This action is brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to  
17 Washington Superior Court Civil Rule 23.

18           67.     Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all members of the following  
19 Class of similarly situated persons:

20                     All United States citizens whose personally identifiable information or personal  
21 health information was accessed in the Data Breach and disclosed to unauthorized  
22 persons, including all United States residents who were sent a notice of the Data  
23 Breach.

24           68.     Excluded from the Class are: (i) Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center and its affiliates,  
25 parents, subsidiaries, officers, agents, employees, and directors; (ii) the University of Washinton  
26 and its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, officers, agents, employees, directors, and regents; and (iii)  
the judge(s) presiding over this matter and the clerks of said judge(s).



1           69.     Certification of Plaintiffs' claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because  
2 Plaintiffs can prove the elements of their claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as  
3 would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

4           70.     The members in the Class are so numerous that joinder of all Class members in a  
5 single proceeding would be impracticable. The cybercriminals that perpetrated the Data Breach  
6 have stated that 800,000 persons' PII/PHI was affected in the Data Breach.<sup>58</sup> The U.S. Department  
7 of Health & Human Services has reported the number of affected individuals is 890,959.<sup>59</sup>

8           71.     Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate  
9 over any potential questions affecting only individual Class members. Such common questions of  
10 law or fact include, *inter alia*:

- 11           a. Whether Defendant had a duty to implement and maintain  
12           reasonable security procedures and practices to protect and secure  
13           Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII/PHI from unauthorized access  
14           and disclosure;
- 15           b. Whether Defendant had duties not to disclose the PII/PHI of  
16           Plaintiffs and Class members to unauthorized third parties;
- 17           c. Whether Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to secure and  
18           safeguard Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII/PHI;
- 19           d. Whether an implied contract existed between Class members and  
20           Defendant, providing that Defendant would implement and maintain  
21           reasonable security measures to protect and secure Class members'  
22           PII/PHI from unauthorized access and disclosure;
- 23           e. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive  
24           practices by failing to safeguard the PII/PHI of Plaintiffs and Class  
25           members;
- 26           f. Whether Defendant breached its duties to protect Plaintiffs' and  
            Class members' PII/PHI; and
- g. Whether Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to damages and  
            the measure of such damages and relief.

---

25 <sup>58</sup> See Toolis, *supra* note 24.

26 <sup>59</sup> Breach Portal, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights,  
[https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/breach/breach\\_report.jsf](https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/breach/breach_report.jsf) (last accessed February 9, 2024).

1           72. Defendant engaged in a common course of conduct giving rise to the legal rights  
2 sought to be enforced by Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all other Class members. Individual  
3 questions, if any, pale in comparison, in both quantity and quality, to the numerous common  
4 questions that dominate this action.

5           73. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Plaintiffs, like all proposed  
6 members of the Class, had their PII/PHI compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiffs and Class  
7 members were injured by the same wrongful acts, practices, and omissions committed by  
8 Defendant, as described herein. Plaintiffs' claims therefore arise from the same practices or course  
9 of conduct that give rise to the claims of all Class members.

10          74. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members.  
11 Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class in that they have no interests adverse to, or  
12 that conflict with, the Class they seek to represent. Plaintiffs have retained counsel with  
13 substantial experience and success in the prosecution of complex consumer protection class  
14 actions of this nature.

15          75. A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient  
16 adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the  
17 management of this class action. The damages and other financial detriment suffered by Plaintiffs  
18 and all other Class members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would  
19 be required to individually litigate their claims against Defendant, so it would be impracticable for  
20 Class members to individually seek redress from Defendant's wrongful conduct. Even if Class  
21 members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation  
22 creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and increases the delay and expense  
23 to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer  
24 management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and  
25 comprehensive supervision by a single court.

**CAUSES OF ACTION**

**COUNT I**  
**NEGLIGENCE**

1  
2  
3       76. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully  
4 set forth herein.

5       77. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiffs and all other Class members to exercise  
6 reasonable care in safeguarding and protecting their PII/PHI in its possession, custody, or control.

7       78. Defendant knew the risks of collecting and storing Plaintiffs’ and all other Class  
8 members’ PII/PHI and the importance of maintaining secure systems. UW knew of the many data  
9 breaches that targeted healthcare providers in recent years, including FHCC, its affiliate, and  
10 experienced a recent data breach itself.

11       79. Given the nature of UW Medicine’s business, the sensitivity and value of the  
12 PII/PHI it maintains, and the resources at its disposal, Defendant should have identified the  
13 vulnerabilities to its systems and prevented the Data Breach from occurring.

14       80. Defendant breached these duties by failing to exercise reasonable care in  
15 safeguarding and protecting Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ PII/PHI by failing to design, adopt,  
16 implement, control, direct, oversee, manage, monitor, and audit appropriate data security  
17 processes, controls, policies, procedures, protocols, and software and hardware systems to  
18 safeguard and protect PII/PHI entrusted to it—including Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ PII/PHI.

19       81. It was reasonably foreseeable to Defendant that its failure to exercise reasonable  
20 care in safeguarding and protecting Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ PII/PHI by failing to design,  
21 adopt, implement, control, direct, oversee, manage, monitor, and audit appropriate data security  
22 processes, controls, policies, procedures, protocols, and software and hardware systems would  
23 result in the unauthorized release, disclosure, and dissemination of Plaintiffs’ and Class members’  
24 PII/PHI to unauthorized individuals.

1 82. But for Defendant’s negligent conduct or breach of the above-described duties  
2 owed to Plaintiffs and Class members, their PII/PHI would not have been compromised.

3 83. As a result of Defendant’s above-described wrongful actions, inaction, and want of  
4 ordinary care that directly and proximately caused the Data Breach, Plaintiffs and all other Class  
5 members have suffered, and will continue to suffer, economic damages and other injury and actual  
6 harm in the form of, *inter alia*: (i) a substantial increase in the likelihood of identity theft; (ii) the  
7 compromise, publication, and theft of their PII/PHI; (iii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with  
8 the prevention, detection, and recovery from unauthorized use of their PII/PHI; (iv) lost  
9 opportunity costs associated with effort attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences  
10 of the Data Breach; (v) the continued risk to their PII/PHI which remains in Defendant’s  
11 possession; (vi) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be required to prevent,  
12 detect, and repair the impact of the PII/PHI compromised as a result of the Data Breach; and (vii)  
13 overpayment for the services that were received without adequate data security.

14 **COUNT II**  
15 **NEGLIGENCE PER SE**

16 84. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully  
17 set forth herein.

18 85. Defendant’s duties arise from, *inter alia*, the HIPAA Privacy Rule (“Standards for  
19 Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information”), 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164,  
20 Subparts A and E, and the HIPAA Security Rule (“Security Standards for the Protection of  
21 Electronic Protected Health Information”), 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164, Subparts A and C  
(collectively, “HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules”).

22 86. Defendant’s duties also arise from Section 5 of the FTC Act (“FTCA”), 15 U.S.C.  
23 § 45(a)(1), which prohibits “unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce,” including, as  
24 interpreted by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by business, such as UW Medicine, of failing to  
25 employ reasonable measures to protect and secure PII/PHI.

1           87. Defendant violated HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and Section 5 of the FTCA  
2 by failing to use reasonable measures to protect Plaintiffs' and all other Class members' PII/PHI  
3 and not complying with applicable industry standards. Defendant's conduct was particularly  
4 unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII/PHI it obtains and stores, and the foreseeable  
5 consequences of a data breach involving PII/PHI including, specifically, the substantial damages  
6 that would result to Plaintiffs and the other Class members.

7           88. Defendant's violations of HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and Section 5 of the  
8 FTCA constitutes negligence per se.

9           89. Plaintiffs and Class members are within the class of persons that HIPAA Privacy  
10 and Security Rules and Section 5 of the FTCA were intended to protect.

11           90. The harm occurring as a result of the Data Breach is the type of harm HIPAA  
12 Privacy and Security Rules and Section 5 of the FTCA were intended to guard against.

13           91. It was reasonably foreseeable to Defendant that its failure to exercise reasonable  
14 care in safeguarding and protecting Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII/PHI by failing to design,  
15 adopt, implement, control, direct, oversee, manage, monitor, and audit appropriate data security  
16 processes, controls, policies, procedures, protocols, and software and hardware systems, would  
17 result in the release, disclosure, and dissemination of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII/PHI to  
18 unauthorized individuals.

19           92. The injury and harm that Plaintiffs and the other Class members suffered was the  
20 direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and  
21 Section 5 of the FTCA. Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered (and will continue to suffer)  
22 economic damages and other injury and actual harm in the form of, *inter alia*: (i) a substantial  
23 increase in the likelihood of identity theft; (ii) the compromise, publication, and theft of their  
24 PII/PHI; (iii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from  
25 unauthorized use of their PII/PHI; (iv) lost opportunity costs associated with effort attempting to  
26

1 mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach; (v) the continued risk to their  
2 PII/PHI which remains in Defendant's possession; (vi) future costs in terms of time, effort, and  
3 money that will be required to prevent, detect, and repair the impact of the PII/PHI compromised  
4 as a result of the Data Breach; and (vii) overpayment for the services that were received without  
5 adequate data security.

6 **COUNT III**  
7 **BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY**

8 93. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully  
9 set forth herein.

10 94. Plaintiffs and Class members gave UW Medicine their PII/PHI in confidence, or  
11 gave it to another entity in confidence which then gave their PII/PHI to UW Medicine such that  
12 Defendant was entrusted with the PII/PHI, believing that Defendant and FHCC would protect that  
13 information. Plaintiffs and Class members would not have provided Defendant with this  
14 information or would not have allowed Defendant to obtain this information, had they known it  
15 would not be adequately protected. Defendant's acceptance and storage of Plaintiffs' and Class  
16 members' PII/PHI created a fiduciary relationship between Defendant and Plaintiffs and Class  
17 members. In light of this relationship, Defendant must act primarily for the benefit of the persons  
18 it collects the PII/PHI of, which includes safeguarding and protecting Plaintiffs' and Class  
19 members' PII/PHI.

20 95. Defendant has a fiduciary duty to act for the benefit of Plaintiffs and Class members  
21 upon matters within the scope of their relationship. It breached that duty by failing to properly  
22 protect the integrity of the system containing Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII/PHI, failing to  
23 comply with data security guidelines, and otherwise failing to safeguard Plaintiffs' and Class  
24 members' PII/PHI that it collected.

25 96. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of its fiduciary duties,  
26 Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered and will suffer injury, including, but not limited to: (i)

1 a substantial increase in the likelihood of identity theft; (ii) the compromise, publication, and theft  
2 of their PII/PHI; (iii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and  
3 recovery from unauthorized use of their PII/PHI; (iv) lost opportunity costs associated with effort  
4 attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach; (v) the continued  
5 risk to their PII/PHI which remains in Defendant's possession; (vi) future costs in terms of time,  
6 effort, and money that will be required to prevent, detect, and repair the impact of the PII/PHI  
7 compromised as a result of the Data Breach; and (vii) overpayment for the services that were  
8 received without adequate data security.

9 **COUNT IV**  
10 **VIOLATION OF THE WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**  
11 **RCW §§ 19.86.010 et seq. ("WCPA")**

12 97. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully  
13 set forth herein.

14 98. Plaintiffs and Defendant are "persons" under the WCPA. RCW § 19.86.010(1).

15 99. Defendant's sale of services to Plaintiffs and all other Class members constitutes as  
16 "trade" and "commerce" under the WCPA. RCW § 19.86.010(2).

17 100. The WCPA states, "Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive  
18 practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful." RCW §  
19 19.86.020. Defendant's failure to adequately safeguard Plaintiffs and Class members PII/PHI  
20 while representing that their PII/PHI would be protected is an "unfair or deceptive practice" under  
21 the WCPA.

22 101. Defendant's failure to adequately safeguard Plaintiffs' and the Class members'  
23 PII/PHI is injurious to the public interest pursuant to RCW § 19.86.093(3)(a) because Defendant's  
24 actions not only harmed Plaintiffs, but harmed hundreds of thousands of other persons.

1 102. Had Plaintiffs and Class members been aware of the omitted and misrepresented  
2 facts, i.e., that Defendant would not adequately protect their PII/PHI, Plaintiffs and Class members  
3 would not have sought services from Defendant.

4 103. Pursuant to RCW § 19.86.090, Plaintiffs seek actual and treble damages on behalf  
5 of themselves and all other Class members.

6 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

7 Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all other members of the Class, respectfully  
8 request that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendant as follows:

9 A. Certifying the Class as requested herein, designating Plaintiffs as Class  
10 Representative, and appointing Plaintiffs' counsel as Class Counsel;

11 B. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class appropriate monetary relief, including actual  
12 damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, restitution, disgorgement, and nominal  
13 damages if and as appropriate;

14 C. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief,  
15 as may be appropriate. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class, seek appropriate  
16 injunctive relief designed to prevent Defendant from experiencing another data breach by  
17 adopting and implementing best data security practices to safeguard PII/PHI and to provide  
18 or extend credit monitoring services and similar services to protect against all types of identity  
19 theft and medical identity theft;

20 D. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to  
21 the maximum extent allowable;

22 E. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and  
23 expenses, as allowable; and

24 F. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class such other favorable relief as allowable under  
25 law.



1 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

2 Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all claims in this Class Action Complaint so triable.

3  
4 Dated: February 12, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

5 /s/ Alexander F. Strong

Alexander F. Strong, WSBA #49839

6 **STOBAUGH & STRONG P.C.**

126 NW Canal Street, Suite 100

7 Seattle, WA 98107

*astrong@bs-s.com*

8 Telephone: (206) 622-3536

9 Facsimile: (206) 622-5759

10 Ben Barnow\*

Anthony L. Parkhill\*

11 Riley W. Prince\*

**BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

205 West Randolph Street, Ste. 1630

12 Chicago, IL 60606

*b.barnow@barnowlaw.com*

13 *aparkhill@barnowlaw.com*

*rprince@barnowlaw.com*

14 Tel: (312) 621-2000

15 Fax: (312) 641-5504

16 \**pro hac vice* forthcoming